

TWO CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PURE INJECTIVE MODULES

KAMRAN DIVAANI-AAZAR, MOHAMMAD ALI ESMKHANI AND MASSOUD TOUSI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and D an R -module. It is shown that if D is pure injective, then D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of finitely embedded modules. As a result, it follows that if R is Noetherian, then D is pure injective if and only if D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of Artinian modules. Moreover, it is proved that D is pure injective if and only if there is a family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R -algebras which are finitely presented as R -modules, such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of a module of the form $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ where for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, E_λ is an injective T_λ -module.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let R denote a commutative ring with identity and all modules are assumed to be unitary. The notion of injective modules has a substantial role in algebra. There are several generalizations of this notion. One of them is the notion of pure injective modules. An R -monomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be pure if for any R -module L , the map $f \otimes id_L : M \otimes_R L \rightarrow N \otimes_R L$ is injective. An R -module D is said to be pure injective if for any pure homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, the induced homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(N, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, D)$ is surjective. In model theory the notion of pure injective modules is more useful than that of injective modules. Also, there are some excellent applications of this notion in the theory of flat covers. Thus, this notion has attracted more notice in recent years. For a survey on pure injective modules, we refer the reader to [8], [3] and [9].

Our aim in this paper is to present two characterizations of pure injective modules. First, we show that a pure injective R -module D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of finitely embedded R -modules. Then we deduce

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13E10, 13C05.

Key words and phrases. Pure injective modules, injective cogenerators, finitely embedded modules, finitely presented modules.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 83130115).

our first characterization that says that over a Noetherian ring R an R -module D is pure injective if and only if D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of Artinian modules.

Let E denote an injective cogenerator of the ring R . Let D be an R -module. We show that D is pure injective if and only if there is a family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R -algebras which are finitely presented as R -modules, such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(T_\lambda, E)$. As an immediate consequence, it follows that D is pure injective if and only if there are an injective R -module E' and an R -module L , which is the direct sum of a family of finitely presented R -modules such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\text{Hom}_R(L, E')$. Finally, we will deduce our second characterization of pure injective modules which asserts that D is pure injective if and only if there is a family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R -algebras which are finitely presented as R -modules, such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of a module of the form $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ where for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, E_λ is an injective T_λ -module.

2. THE RESULTS

First, we need to recall a definition and bring some lemmas.

Definition 2.1. An R -module M is called *cocyclic* if M is isomorphic to a submodule of the injective envelope of a simple module (see [5, page 4]). We say that an R -module M is *finitely embedded* if M is isomorphic to a submodule of the injective envelope of the direct sum of finitely many simple modules.

The definition of the dual notion of “finitely generated” are also given separately in [7] and [1]. In the following lemma, we show that those definitions are equivalent to the above definition. In the sequel, for an R -module M , let $E(M)$ denote its injective envelope.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be nonzero R -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *M is finitely embedded.*
- ii) *There are simple R -modules $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t$ such that $E(M) = E(S_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(S_t)$.*
- iii) *The socle of M is a finitely generated and M is an essential extension of its socle.*
- iv) *For any family $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of submodules of M , the intersection $\cap_{i \in I} M_i$ is nonzero, whenever the intersection of any finite number of M_i 's is nonzero.*

Proof. $i) \Leftrightarrow ii)$ and $ii) \Leftrightarrow iii)$ follows, by [7, Proposition 3.20] and [7, Proposition 3.18] respectively. The equivalence $iii) \Leftrightarrow iv)$ follows by [1, Proposition 10.7]. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a nonzero R -module. Then M is cocyclic if and only if the intersection of all nonzero submodules of M is nonzero.*

Proof. First, assume that M is cocyclic. Then, there is a simple R -module S such that M is a submodule of $E(S)$. Since $E(S)$ is an essential extension of S , it follows that every nonzero submodule of M contains S .

Conversely, assume that there is a nonzero element $c \in M$ such that c belongs to all nonzero submodules of M . Then, it is routine check that Rc is simple and that M is an essential extension of Rc . Hence M can be naturally embedded in $E(Rc)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be an R -module. For any finitely generated R -module N and any $0 \neq \alpha \in M \otimes_R N$, there exists a finitely embedded quotient module L of M such that the image of α under the natural homomorphism $M \otimes_R N \longrightarrow L \otimes_R N$ is nonzero.*

Proof. Assume that N is generated by $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s\}$. Let F be a free R -module of rank s . Suppose that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$ is a basis for F and that $\rho : F \longrightarrow N$ is the natural epimorphism defined by $\sum_{i=1}^s r_i x_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^s r_i n_i$. Let K denote the kernel of ρ and $\mu : K \longrightarrow F$ denote the inclusion map. Assume $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^s (m_i \otimes x_i) \in M \otimes_R F$ is such that $(id_M \otimes \rho)(\beta) = \alpha$. Let Σ denote the set of all submodules P of $M \otimes_R F$ such that $im(id_M \otimes \mu) \subseteq P$ and $\beta \notin P$. Since $\beta \notin im(id_M \otimes \mu)$, it follows that Σ is not empty. Clearly, $(\Sigma, \subseteq)$ satisfies the assumptions of Zorn's Lemma, and so Σ possesses a maximal element Q 'say. Lemma 2.3 yields that $(M \otimes_R F)/Q$ is cocyclic. Fix $1 \leq i \leq s$, and let $f_i : M \longrightarrow M \otimes_R F$ be the homomorphism defined by $m \mapsto m \otimes x_i$. Let $f_i^* : M/f_i^{-1}(Q) \longrightarrow (M \otimes_R F)/Q$ denote the induced monomorphism. It follows that $M/f_i^{-1}(Q)$ is cocyclic. Let $L = M/\cap_{i=1}^s f_i^{-1}(Q)$. Since $\oplus_{i=1}^s M/f_i^{-1}(Q)$ is finitely embedded and L can be naturally embedded in $\oplus_{i=1}^s M/f_i^{-1}(Q)$, it turns out that L is finitely embedded. Let $\pi : M \longrightarrow L$ denote the natural epimorphism. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\mu} F \xrightarrow{\rho} N \longrightarrow 0,$$

yields the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
M \otimes_R K & \xrightarrow{id_M \otimes \mu} & M \otimes_R F & \xrightarrow{id_M \otimes \rho} & M \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \pi \otimes id_K & & \downarrow \pi \otimes id_F & & \downarrow \pi \otimes id_N & & \\
L \otimes_R K & \xrightarrow{id_L \otimes \mu} & L \otimes_R F & \xrightarrow{id_L \otimes \rho} & L \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

To show that $(\pi \otimes id_N)(\alpha) \neq 0$, it is enough to see that $(\pi \otimes id_F)(\beta) \notin im(id_L \otimes \mu)$. Suppose the contrary is true. Then there are $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l \in M$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l \in K$ such that

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^s (\pi(m_i) \otimes x_i) = (\pi \otimes id_F)(\beta) = (id_L \otimes \mu)(\Sigma_{j=1}^l (\pi(y_j) \otimes z_j)).$$

For any given integer $1 \leq j \leq l$, let $r_{ij} \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ be such that $z_j = \Sigma_{i=1}^s r_{ij} x_i$. Thus

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^s (\pi(m_i) \otimes x_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^s ((\Sigma_{j=1}^l \pi(r_{ij} y_j)) \otimes x_i),$$

as elements of $L \otimes_R F$. Therefore $m_i - \Sigma_{j=1}^l r_{ij} y_j \in \bigcap_{k=1}^s f_k^{-1}(Q)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, and so

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^s (m_i \otimes x_i) - \Sigma_{i=1}^s ((\Sigma_{j=1}^l r_{ij} y_j) \otimes x_i) \in Q.$$

Because $\Sigma_{j=1}^l (y_j \otimes z_j) \in im(id_M \otimes \mu) \subseteq Q$, it turns out that $\beta \in Q$. Therefore, we achieved at a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be an R -module and let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in I}$ denote the class of all finitely embedded quotient modules of M . Then there exists a pure homomorphism $M \longrightarrow \Pi_{i \in I} Q_i$.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$, let $\pi_i : M \longrightarrow Q_i$ denote the natural epimorphism. Define the homomorphism $\lambda : M \longrightarrow \Pi_{i \in I} Q_i$, by $m \mapsto (\pi_i(m))_{i \in I}$. To show that λ is pure, it is enough to see that for any finitely presented R -module N , the R -homomorphism

$$\lambda \otimes id_N : M \otimes_R N \longrightarrow (\Pi_{i \in I} Q_i) \otimes_R N,$$

is injective. Note that, by [3, Construction 18-2.6] any R -module is isomorphic to the direct limit of a family of finitely presented modules. It is a routine check to see that the map

$$\Theta : (\Pi_{i \in I} Q_i) \otimes_R N \longrightarrow \Pi_{i \in I} (Q_i \otimes_R N),$$

defined by $(y_i)_{i \in I} \otimes z \mapsto (y_i \otimes z)_{i \in I}$ is an isomorphism. Now, the conclusion follows, because by Lemma 2.4, $\Theta(\lambda \otimes id_N)$ is injective. $\square$

Let D be a pure injective R -module and $f : D \longrightarrow L$ a pure homomorphism. Then, it follows that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of L . This easy fact yields the following.

Corollary 2.6. *Let D be a pure injective R -module. Then D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of finitely embedded modules.*

Example 2.7. By [5, Theorem 6], a Prüfer domain R is locally almost maximal if and only if every finitely embedded R -module is pure injective. On other hand, by [2, Example 2.4] there exists a valuation domain R such that R is not almost maximal. Hence finitely embedded modules are not pure injective in general, and so the converse of Corollary 2.6 is not true.

Now, we are ready to establish our first characterization of pure injective modules.

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and D an R -module. Then D is pure injective if and only if D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of Artinian modules.*

Proof. First assume that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of Artinian modules. By [6, Corollary 4.2], any Artinian module is pure injective. Thus D is a pure injective module. Note that the direct product of a family $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ of R -modules is pure injective if and only if each D_i is pure injective.

Now, assume that D is pure injective. Then, it follows that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of the direct product of a family of finitely embedded R -modules. But, over a Noetherian ring finitely embedded R -modules are Artinian. $\square$

Example 2.9. There is a pure injective module which is not the direct product of any family of Artinian modules. To this end, let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian complete local ring which is not Artinian. Since $R \cong \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, it follows by [4, Lemma 2.1] that R is pure injective. Assume that there is a family $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of Artinian modules such that $R = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$. Then only finitely many of A_i 's can be nonzero, because R is Noetherian. Hence R becomes an Artinian R -module and so we achieved at a contradiction.

Lemma 2.10. *Let Ω denote the class of all R -algebras T which are finitely presented as R -modules. Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then the inclusion map $i : N \hookrightarrow M$ is pure if and only if $i \otimes \text{id}_T$ is injective for all $T \in \Omega$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the “if” part. To this end, by [3, Construction 18-2.6], it will suffice to show that for any finitely presented R -module L , $i \otimes id_L$ is injective. Let L be a finitely presented R -module and $T_L = R \oplus L$ denote the trivial extension of R by L . Recall that addition and multiplication in T_L are defined respectively by,

$$(r, x) + (r', x') = (r + r', x + x')$$

and

$$(r, x)(r', x') = (rr', rx' + r'x).$$

Consider the ring homomorphism $\psi_L : R \longrightarrow T_L$ defined by $r \mapsto (r, 0)$. Clearly, the R -module structure on T_L that induced by ψ_L coincides with the usual R -module structure of T_L when T_L is considered as the direct sum of two R -modules R and L .

Let $\lambda : L \longrightarrow T_L$ be the natural embedding defined by $x \mapsto (0, x)$. Now, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_R L & \xrightarrow{i \otimes id_L} & M \otimes_R L \\ \downarrow id_N \otimes \lambda & & \downarrow id_M \otimes \lambda \\ N \otimes_R T_L & \xrightarrow{i \otimes id_{T_L}} & M \otimes_R T_L \end{array}$$

Because λ is a pure R -homomorphism, we deduce that both maps $id_N \otimes \lambda$ and $id_M \otimes \lambda$ are injective. Thus we conclude that $i \otimes id_L$ is injective, as required. $\square$

Proposition 2.11. *Let E be an injective cogenerator of R and D an R -module. Then D is pure injective if and only if there is a family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R -algebras which are finitely presented as R -modules, such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(T_\lambda, E)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for any R -module M and any injective R -module E' , the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, E')$ is pure injective (see e.g. [4, Lemma 2.1]). Thus the “if” part follows clearly, because the direct product of a family $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ of R -modules is pure injective if and only if D_i is pure injective for all $i \in I$.

Now, we prove the converse. Let Ω be as in Lemma 2.10. There is a subclass Ω^* of Ω , which is a set, with the property that any $T \in \Omega$ is isomorphic (as an R -module) to an element of Ω^* . Let $(.)^\vee$ denote the functor $\text{Hom}_R(., E)$. Also, let Λ be the set of all pairs (T, f) with $T \in \Omega^*$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(D, T^\vee)$, and for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ denote the corresponding pair by (T_λ, f_λ) . Set $C = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (T_\lambda)^\vee$ and define the monomorphism $\psi : D \longrightarrow C$, by $\psi(x) = (f_\lambda(x))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. By the paragraph preceding

Corollary 2.6, the proof will be complete if we show that ψ is pure. To this end, by Lemma 2.10, it is enough to show that for any $T \in \Omega^*$, the map $\psi \otimes id_T$ is injective. Because, the functor $(.)^\vee$ is faithfully exact, we equivalently prove that the natural R -homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(C, T^\vee) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D, T^\vee)$ is surjective. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(D, T^\vee)$. There is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ with $(T_{\lambda_0}, f_{\lambda_0}) = (T, f)$. Denote the projection map $\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda}(T_\lambda)^\vee \longrightarrow (T_{\lambda_0})^\vee$, by ρ_{λ_0} . Then $\rho_{\lambda_0}\psi = f$. $\square$

Next, we present another characterization of pure injective modules.

Corollary 2.12. *Let D be an R -module. Then D is pure injective if and only if D is isomorphic to a direct summand of a module of the form $\text{Hom}_R(L, E)$ where E is an injective R -module and L is the direct sum of a family of finitely presented R -modules.*

Proof. The “if” part is clear, as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 2.11.

Now, let D be a pure injective R -module and E an injective cogenerator of R . Then, by Proposition 2.11, there is a family $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ of finitely presented R -modules such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\Pi_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(L_i, E)$. Set $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$. Then

$$\Pi_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(L_i, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(L, E),$$

and so the conclusion follows. $\square$

Now, we are ready to present our second characterization of pure injective modules.

Theorem 2.13. *An R -module D is pure injective if and only if there is a family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R -algebras which are finitely presented as R -modules, such that D is isomorphic to a direct summand of a module of the form $\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ where for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, E_λ is an injective T_λ -module.*

Proof. Again, the “if” part is clear, because as one can see easily, any pure injective module over an R -algebra T is also pure injective as an R -module.

Now, we prove the converse. Let E be an injective R -module and T an R -algebra. Then, it is easy to see that $\text{Hom}_R(T, E)$ is an injective T -module. Hence the claim follows, by Proposition 2.11. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

- [2] W. Brandal, *Almost maximal integral domains and finitely generated modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **183** (1973), 203-222.
- [3] J. Dauns, *Modules and rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [4] E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**(2) (1984), 179-184.
- [5] L. Fuchs and A. Meijer, *Note on modules over Prüfer domains*, Math. Pannon, **2**(1) (1991), 3-11.
- [6] L. Melkersson, *Cohomological properties of modules with secondary representations*, Math. Scand., **77**(2) (1995), 197-208.
- [7] D.W. Sharpe and P. Vámos, *Injective modules*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, **62**, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1972.
- [8] R.B. Warfield, *Purity and algebraic compactness for modules*, Pacific J. Math., **28** (1969), 699-719.
- [9] J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1634**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

K. DIVAANI-AAZAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AZ-ZAHRA UNIVERSITY, VANAK, POST CODE 19834, TEHRAN, IRAN-AND-INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `kdivaani@ipm.ir`

M.A. ESMKHANI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID BEHESHTI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN-AND-INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

M. TOUSI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID BEHESHTI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN-AND-INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.